

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES  
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)  
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

753-168P

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

09/555809

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.

PCT/EP98/07762 ✓

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

November 26, 1998 ✓

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED

December 19, 1997 ✓

## TITLE OF INVENTION

SIMULATION PROCESS OF RADIOFREQUENCY SCENARIO IN RADIO MOBILE ENVIRONMENT AND TESTING  
SYSTEM EMPLOYING SAID PROCESS ✓

## APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US


DONATI, Marcello; POLITI, Marco

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☒ This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than defer examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19<sup>th</sup> month from the earliest claimed priority date
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
- a. ☒ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). WO 99/33202
- b. ☒ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
- c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☒ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
- a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
- b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
- c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
- d. ☒ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9. ☐ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
10. ☒ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

Items 11. to 16. below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98./International Search Report with cited references
12. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☒ A FIRST preliminary amendment.  
☐ A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☒ Other items or information:
- 1.) PCT Request (PCT/RO/101)
- 2.) Five (5) sheets of Formal Drawings
- 3.) International Preliminary Examination Report (PCT/IPEA/409)



753-168P

Form PTO-1390 (REV 1-98) page 2 of 2

PATENT  
753-168P

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: DONATI, Marcello et al.  
Int'l. Appl. No.: PCT/EP98/07762  
Appl. No.: New Group:  
Filed: June 5, 2000 Examiner:  
For: SIMULATION PROCESS OF  
RADIOFREQUENCY SCENARIO IN RADIO  
MOBILE ENVIRONMENT AND TRSTING  
SYSTEM EMPLOYING SAID PROCESS

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

**BOX PATENT APPLICATION**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

June 5, 2000

Sir:

The following Preliminary Amendments and Remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

**AMENDMENTS**

**IN THE SPECIFICATION:**

Please amend the specification as follows:

Before line 1, insert --This application is the national phase under 35 U.S.C. § 371 of PCT International Application No. PCT/EP98/07762 which has an International filing date of November 26, 1998, which designated the United States of America.--

**IN THE CLAIMS:**

Please amend the claims as follows:

**Claim 7:** Line 1, change "any claim 4 to 6" to --claim 4--

**Claim 9:** Line 1, change "any claim from 2 to 8" to  
--claim 2--

**Claim 10:** Line 1, change "any claim from 4 to 9" to  
--claim 4--

**Claim 11:** Line 1, change "any claim from 2 to 10" to  
--claim 2--

**Claim 14:** Line 1, delete "or 13"

**Claim 16:** Line 1, change "any claim from 12 to 15" to  
--claim 12--

**Claim 19:** Line 1, change "any claim 16 through 18" to  
--claim 16--

**Claim 21:** Line 1, change "any claim from 16 to 20" to  
--claim 16--

**REMARKS**

The specification has been amended to provide a cross-reference to the previously filed International Application. The claims have also been amended to delete the multiple dependencies and to place the application into better form for examination. Entry of the present amendment and favorable action on the above-identified application are respectfully requested.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any

Docket No. 753-168P

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By Ive M. Munay <sup>Reg No</sup> 132,334  
for Michael K. Mutter, #29,680

MKM/cqc  
753-168P

P.O. Box 747  
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747  
(703) 205-8000

(Rev. 04/19/2000)

09/555809 09/581790

527 Rec'd PCT/PTC 19 JUN 2000

PATENT  
753-166P

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: DONATI, Marcello et al  
Int'l. Appl. No.: PCT/EP98/07762  
Appl. No.: New Group:  
Filed: June 19, 2000 Examiner:  
For: SIMULATION PROCESS OF  
RADIOFREQUENCY SCENARIO IN RADIO  
MOBILE ENVIRONMENT AND TESTING  
SYSTEM EMPLOYING SAID PROCESS

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

**BOX PATENT APPLICATION**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

June 19, 2000

Sir:

The following Preliminary Amendments and Remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

AMENDMENTS

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Please amend the specification as follows:

Before line 1, insert --This application is the national phase under 35 U.S.C. § 371 of PCT International Application No. PCT/EP98/07762 which has an International filing date of November 26, 1998, which designated the United States of America.--


REMARKS

The specification has been amended to provide a cross-reference to the previously filed International Application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By  #40,439  
for Michael K. Mutter, #29,680

MKM/slk  
753-166P

P.O. Box 747  
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747  
(703) 205-8000

(Rev. 04/19/2000)

## ART 34 AMDT

"SIMULATION PROCESS OF RADIOFREQUENCY SCENARIO IN RADIO MOBILE ENVIRONMENT  
AND TESTING SYSTEM EMPLOYING SAID PROCESS"5 Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to the field of test systems for telecommunication equipment and more in particular to a radiofrequency scenario simulation process in mobile radio environment for the testing of receivers of base transceiver stations with intelligent antennas, and testing system employing said process.

10 Before introducing the art known in the field of the invention, it is necessary to briefly describe the operation and problems related to the use of the so-called "intelligent" antennas; to justify, in the applicant's opinion, the lack of testing systems oriented to such a kind of antennas.

As it is already known, the use of intelligent antennas commences in the mobile  
15 radio environment to render the reutilization of the same carrier frequencies in cells of adjacent clusters less critical. This critical character is particularly evident in high traffic urban environment, where reutilization distances can suffer a considerable reduction due to the reduced dimensions of the cells, often of some hundreds of metres only. The use of traditional omnidirectional antennas, or of trisectorial ones, involves high  
20 interference problems in these particular environments by isofrequential signals coming from adjacent clusters. This is due to the scarce directivity of the antennas, which consequently involves the transmission of comparatively high power signals by the base transceiver stations (BTS). On the contrary, the intelligent array antenna, is a directive radiant system, able to concentrate the electromagnetic field in the original  
25 estimated direction of the signal transmitted by a generic mobile MS (in all the directions of the azimuth plane), separately for all the mobiles of a cell where the antenna is allocated. The antenna is therefore characterized by dynamic radiation diagrams (as many as are the time division carriers assigned to the BTS multiplied by the number of time slots) fit with main lobes of reduced angular opening that follow up  
30 the directions of the relevant mobiles, thus avoiding to vainly leak power out of these directions. Reciprocally in reception, this involves a reduction of the total level of isofrequential interferences and, consequently, of the reutilization distance of the same carriers, and therefore of the dimensions of clusters.



**ART 34 AMD1**

It is also known that the intelligent antennas are based on the use of electromagnetic field sensor arrays, each sensor being connected to its own transceiver, and the whole of transceivers to a process module able to duly process the signals received, or transmitted, by the single sensors. Usually, the receiver acts as  
5 "master", that is, it estimates on the azimuth plane the arrival directions of signals of the mobiles in transit in its cell and communicates this information to the transmitter that synthesises the angular openings of the antennas in the above mentioned angular directions, supplying the single sensors with replicas of a same signal, duly phase shifted among them.

10 While for the transmitter associated to an intelligent array antenna there is no particular realization problem, the same is not true for the implementation of the similar receiver, since the estimate of the arrival directions of useful signals is a complex operation from the computation point of view. It requires in fact an opportune processing of the module and phase information of more replicas of the radio signal  
15 received by the different sensors of the array. Said complexity derives from the fact to distinguish in the signal transduced from the array, the directions of the useful signals from those of relevant interferent signals, that is the isofrequential signals emitted by mobiles transiting in adjacent cluster cells, and the echoes due to the multiple reflections of the useful by obstacles spread over the territory, whose extent and time delay depend on the geographic environment of the cell (urban, suburban, rural  
20 environment). This information on the arrival directions is then used by the receiver to perform a spatial filtering of the N signals transduced by the array, in order to filter the useful from the different interferents.

Background Art

25 In the examples of base transceiver stations with intelligent antennas according to the known art, a similar discrimination of the useful from the interferents is only partially made. This does not happen for a newly conceived base transceiver station, implemented by the same applicant, whose main innovative aspects have been protected by the following relevant patent applications:

- 30 • EP 0 878 974 under the title "Communication Method for cellular telephone systems ", filed on May 16, 1997;
- WO 99/33141 under the title "Discrimination process of a useful signal by a plurality of isofrequential interferent signals received by array antennas of base transceiver stations for cellular telecommunication and relevant method".

## ART 34 AMDT

In particular, the last mentioned application solves the problem of discrimination of the useful signal from a plurality of isofrequentual interferents through a spatial filtering method, or beamforming, made on signals transduced by the array, previously submitted to a processing determining the number and the arrival directions of the waves incising on the array, distinguishing the useful from the relevant interferents.

Therefore, it is evident that in testing systems of base transceiver stations equipped with intelligent antenna, of old conception, the problem to simulate a radiofrequency scenario reflecting as precisely as possible what actually occurs in the reality, is not particularly perceived. This is a consequence of the fact that the beamforming algorithms there used do not discriminate (or do it in a rough and predictable manner) the useful signals from the relevant interferent echoes. It is then possible, and in the practice it generally occurs in the context of the known art, to use the old test equipment for receiver apparatus of the base transceiver stations, with omnidirectional or trisectorial antennas, apart from the simulation of the arrival directions of useful and relevant interfering echoes. Consequently, the actual test of the behaviour of the receiver complete with intelligent array antenna requires opportune test transmitters located, ad hoc, on the territory.

US Patent No. 5,539,772 is an example of a test equipment designed for verifies the performance of a digital satellite receiver belonging to a mobile terminal unit. As known, a geostationary satellite retransmits towards the mobile a phone call received from a satellite ground station, in turn connected to a public telephone network. The relevant claim 1 of the citation discloses an Apparatus for verifying performance of a RF receiver, comprising:

- arbitrary waveform generator means for outputting an analog in-phase waveform and an analog quadrature waveform in accordance with sampled digital waveform data, said arbitrary waveform generator means including parallel first and second First-In-First-Out random access memories for storing the sampled digital waveform data;
- the sampled digital waveform data comprising an in-phase waveform file stored in said First-In-First-Out memory and a quadrature waveform file stored in said second First-In-First-Out memory
- each of the in-phase and quadrature waveform files including 60% root-cosine differential quadrature phase shift keyed data corresponding to successive frames of primary transmission channel data, co-channel interference data, adjacent

## ART 34 AMDT

channel interference data, and data relating to at least one of a plurality of impairments;

- unity gain reconstruction filter means, connected to said arbitrary waveform generator means, for smoothing the analog in-phase and quadrature waveforms
- 5 - vector signal generator means, responsive to the filtered analog in-phase and quadrature waveforms, for outputting a modulated RF signal; and
- means for coupling an input of the RF receiver to the modulated RF signal output from said vector signal generator.

A further independent claim of the same cited prior art is directed to a  
10 method for testing the receiver. In accordance with the claimed method a digital frame including a portion dedicated to reproduce the signal transmitted, via satellite, to a mobile telephone unit is generated. Except for the framed digital signal, the claimed method has the substantial features of the claimed apparatus. In the supporting description all the means involved in claim 1 generates a narrow band test signal,  
15 which because a mobile telephone unit activates only a telephone call at a time, contrarily to the base station which activates a plurality of simultaneous calls. Accordingly, the signal generated by the test apparatus of the citation is unsuitable to test a base station, where a suitable test signal should be of the multicarrier type. In the particular case of GSM with beamforming, a minimal realistic test apparatus is charged  
20 to synthesize a useful signal freely displaceable inside a wide radiofrequency band, i.e. the 880-915 MHz for extended GSM, plus one or more co-channel interferent having a presettable direction out of 360°. A more versatile apparatus could generate several sets of similar signals at the various frequencies. No suggestion is given in the citation about the design of such a test apparatus.

25 Summary of the Invention

A general object of the present invention is to propose a simulation process of radiofrequency scenario for the testing of radio receivers with intelligent array antenna, able to identify the direction of a useful signal from those of isofrequency interferents, irrespective of the fact that a spatial filtering is then made.

30 Elective object of the present invention is that to overcome the drawbacks of testing systems for receivers of base transceiver stations of cellular telephone systems of old design, and to propose a radiofrequency scenario simulation process in mobile radio environment for the testing of radio receivers of base transceiver stations with intelligent antennas, of new generation, as much realistic as possible, for the whole

000220" 60055560

## ART 34 AMDT

typology of signals which can incise on the antenna, that is: the useful signals emitted by several mobiles, the relevant echoes due to multiple reflections, the isofrequential interferences due to the reutilization of the carriers, the echoes of said interferences, the interferences from adjacent channel, the echoes of said interferences.

- 5 a) To attain these objects, scope of the present invention is a simulation process of radiofrequency scenario, in particular for the testing of receivers for N sensor intelligent array antennas, as described in claim 1.

Profitably, the subject process can be used for the simulation of a radiofrequency scenario of any cellular telephone system, characterized by the reutilization of carriers.

- 10 The simulated scenario can be tailored in the way time by time considered more adequate to a particular testing requirement.

- According to another aspect of the invention, the simulated scenario has dynamic characteristics, obtained varying at pre-set time intervals the setting of parameters relevant to characteristic magnitudes of useful and interferent carriers contained in said tables, which define the simulated scenario, such as for instance: level, delay, arrival direction, etc., the duration of said intervals being rather short to be comparable to the time slot employed by similar variations when occurring in a real scenario, but however sufficient to the reprogramming of the different phases of the simulated scenario.

- 20 Profitably, the simulation of the scenario includes the presence of noise, the doppler effect due to the speed of mobiles and the quick and sudden fadings of the electromagnetic field received, caused by destructive interference from multiple paths (fading of Rayleigh) or masking by obstacles of different nature encountered by the mobiles.

- 25 Since the intelligence of the receivers of a base station for mobile radio systems with intelligent antenna of new generation has the characteristics mentioned above, it results that the testing of these intelligent characteristics requires an adequate stimulation by the testing system, which shall be able to reproduce a radiofrequency scenario so richly diversified.

- 30 Therefore, further object of the invention is a testing system of receivers of a base station per mobile radio systems with intelligent array antenna, of new generation, employing the scenario simulation process scope of the present invention, as described in claim 12.

- 35 The great advantage that a similar system has, is to enable a complete and accurate testing of the receivers of the above mentioned base station, without the need

## ART 34 AMDT

- of preparing sample transmitters on the territory. The system is also characterized by an exceptional flexibility in preparing the scenario considered time by time more suitable to the verification of the receiver performance compared to a particular specification standard. In fact, it is sufficient that the testing operator fills in a limited
- 5 number of tables describing the scenario to simulate, afterwards, simply clicking with the mouse the same become operative in real time.

Brief Description of Drawings

- The invention, together with further objects and advantages thereof, may be understood making reference to the following detailed description, taken in conjunction
- 10 with the accompanying drawings, in which:
- fig.1 shows a quite general block diagram of the testing system scope of the present invention, connected to a device to be tested (D.U.T.);
  - fig.2 shows more in detail a SIM\_RF block of fig.1 belonging to the above mentioned testing system;
  - 15 - fig.3 shows the SIM\_RF block of fig.2 with higher detail, up to the indication of the single circuit blocks;
  - fig.4 gives a representation of the directions of plane waves incising on an array antenna, usually employed during the actual operation by the device to be tested (DUT) of fig.1;
  - 20 - fig.5 shows the progressive phase shifting existing among the components of a plane wave front coming from a direction  $\varphi$  of fig.4, on the moment the same incises on the sensors of the array;
  - fig.6 shows a picture on the complex I/Q plane of the rotating vectors that represent the components of the plane wave front of fig.5; and
  - 25 - fig.7 shows the tables previously stored in the permanent storage of the processor of fig.1, available to the testing operator for the setting of the parameters distinguishing a scenario to be simulated.

Detailed Description

- Making reference to fig.1, it can be noticed a testing system of a device DUT
- 30 (Device Under Test) consisting of a simulation equipment SIM\_RF connected to a control processor CNTR\_PC through a serial bus ET\_LAN of a local network, for instance of the Ethernet type, to which also the DUT device is connected.

The SIM\_RF block has N radiofrequency outputs out1, out2, ..., outN connected, through N coaxial cables, to a same number of inputs in1, in2, ..., inN of the DUT block.

## ART 34 AMDT

Relevant radiofrequency signals RF1, RF2, ..., RFN coming out from the SIM\_RF block run along said cables, and enter the DUT block. Blocks SIM\_RF and DUT, as well as the personal computer CNTR\_PC, are connected to the serial bus ET\_LAN. More in particular, the personal computer CNTR\_PC is connected to the ET\_LAN bus through  
5 its own serial bus SER\_PC, the DUT block through a serial bus SER\_DUT, and block SIM\_RF through M serial buses SER\_PR1, SER\_PR2, ..., SER\_PRM and a M+1-th serial bus SER\_LO.

In operation, the SIM\_RF block is a simulation equipment governed by the personal computer CNTR\_PC, and the DUT block is a receiver of a base transceiver  
10 station (BTS) for cellular telephone system of the FDMA/TDMA type, for instance GSM 900 MHz, or DCS 1800 MHz. The whole of the RF1, ..., RFN signals conforms to the selected standard that defines the radio interface. Even if not shown in the figure, the above mentioned blocks include one or more interface devices towards the local network ET\_LAN.

15 Observing the testing configuration of the figure (test bed), we can perceive the great advantage offered by the connection in local network both of the testing system CNTR\_PC, SIM\_RF and of the device to test DUT. In fact, this last could send the results of the different tests directly to the computer CNTR\_PC, in a completely asynchronous mode versus the flow of testing data. The control processor will avail of  
20 evaluation procedures and print of the results, and in the case of variation of input stimulations. In this way the testing will result completely automated.

Making reference to fig.2, we notice that the simulation equipment SIM\_RF includes M processor modules TX\_PROC1, TX\_PROC2, ..., TX\_PROCM; N broad band radiofrequency transmitters WB\_TX1, WB\_TX2, ..., WB\_TXN; and a LO\_CORP  
25 block generating N identical signals of local oscillator OL, reaching the transmitters WB\_TX1, ..., WB\_TXN.

Each TX\_PROC block has N outputs for a same number of digital sequential words  $C_{xy}$  reaching the relevant N parallel buses BS1, BS2, ..., BSN, where the value of index x indicates the origin from a relevant processor module m-th, while the value of  
30 index y indicates the n-th bus reached by the signal  $C_{xy}$ . I bus BS1, BS2, ..., BSN are connected to an input of relevant broad band transmitters WB\_TX1, WB\_TX2, ..., WB\_TXN identified by the same ordinal number.

In the operation, the architecture of the SIM\_RF equipment shows a modularity per time division radio carrier, with a maximum of M carriers generated by M modules  
35 TX\_PROC, and per antenna element, with a maximum of N elements (virtual), supplied

[illegible]

The processor modules TX\_PROC perform the following operations, in a  
5 completely digital manner:

- Signals IF1, IF2, ..., IFN reaching the N broad band transmitters WB\_TX1, WB\_TX2, ..., WB\_TXN, are converted to analogue by the same, typically compensating the distortion of the senx/x type, broad band filtered, and then converted at radiofrequency in test signals RF1, RF2, ..., RFN placed in a selected transmission sub-band. The N signals RF1, RF2, ..., RFN, thanks to the beamforming, are suitable to simulate up to M different arrival directions from a unique spatial point. The same directions are in fact recognized by the receiver DUT per intelligent antenna of a BTS in testing phase, and therefore without antenna, on the basis of the reciprocal phase shifting existing between the N carriers of each of the M groups of N isofrequential

## ART 34 AMDT

carriers forming the N broad band signals RF1, RF2, ..., RFN, globally conveyed in the DUT block by a same number of coaxial cables.

Fig.3 highlights with higher circuit detail what already said in the comment of fig.2; in particular it is supplied the architecture of processor modules TX\_PROC and of transmitters WB\_TX.

Making reference to fig. 3, in which the same elements of the previous figures are indicated with the same symbols, we notice the processor modules TX\_PROC1, TX\_PROC2, ..., TX\_PROCM of which, only for module TX\_PROC1, the internal architecture is highlighted, being the architecture of the remaining modules identical to the highlighted one. The TX\_PROC1 module includes N modulators GMSK1, GMSK2, ..., GMSKN and a INTF\_PC block connected, through the serial bus SER\_PR1, to the serial bus ET\_LAN of the local network to which all the remaining blocks TX\_PROC are abutted, the LO\_CORP block, as well as the personal computer CNTR\_PC and the DUT block highlighted in the testing configuration (test bed) of fig.1. At output of the INTF\_PC block, digital signals are present, indicated as follows:

- SIM\_D, BT\_SIM, and SIM\_DEL directed towards all the GMSK modulators;
- N complex data SIM\_BEAM\_W1, SIM\_BEAM\_W2, ....., SIM\_BEAM\_WN addressed towards an input of relevant first complex digital multipliers M1, M2, ..., MN, the other input of which is reached by the components I and Q coming out from relevant GMSK modulators; and finally
- N identical digital carriers SIM\_NCO addressed towards an input of relevant second digital multipliers MM1, MM2, ..., MMN, the other input of which is reached by the signals coming out from relevant first multipliers M1, M2, ..., MN (through the adders of the "weighed" I and Q components, omitted for briefness sake in the figure).

One clock input of GMSK modulators is reached also by a signal CK, used for the generation of relevant and identical digital carriers in base band.

At the output of the second multipliers MM1, MM2, ..., MMN the N signals C1<sub>1</sub>, C1<sub>2</sub>, ..., C1<sub>N</sub> of fig.2 are present; these last reach a first input of relevant N digital adders 1, 2, ..., N, having two inputs, also included in the TX\_PROC1 block. The second input of said adders is reached by relevant sum signals of corresponding signals C<sub>x</sub><sub>y</sub> generated by the remaining modules TX\_PROC of the block SIM\_RF. As it can be noticed in the figure, TX\_PROC blocks are placed in cascade as for the adders 1 ... N, that is the output of a generic adder of a block reaches an input of the corresponding adder of the block placed downstream. Consequently, adders 1, 2, ..., N of the TX\_PROC1 block, placed downstream the whole chain of blocks TX\_PROC,



## ART 34 AMDT

obtain at output the digital signals at intermediate frequency IF1, IF2, ..., IFN, as cumulative sum of relevant signals  $C_x$ , corresponding to those indicated on buses BS1, BS2, ..., BSN of fig.2. It results that the implementation of these last is actually obtained through the M groups of adders 1, 2, ..., N placed in cascade.

5        The N digital signals at intermediate frequency IF1, IF2, ..., IFN reach a same number of digital/analogue converters included in the relevant blocks WB\_TX1, WB\_TX2, ..., WB\_TXN. Converted signals are duly broad band filtered, amplified, and sent to a first input of relevant mixers MX1, MX2, ..., MXN, reached also by the N identical signals of local oscillator OL coming from LO\_CORP, obtaining at output N  
10        radiofrequency signals. These last are duly filtered and sent to relevant power amplifiers PA1, PA2, ..., PAN, obtaining the N signals RF1, RF2, ..., RFN present at the outputs out1, out2, ..., outN of SIM\_RF.

      All what said up to now concerning the operation of the SIM\_RF equipment of figures 2 and 3 relates to what happens in a single time slot. This time ( $577 \mu s$ ) is too  
15        short to complete the dialogue between CNTR\_PC and SIM\_RF and the required programming of modulators GMSK by the INTF\_PC block; consequently the settings of the SIM\_RF equipment, for all the time slot of the present frame possibly involved, shall be made during a frame time (4,61 ms) and shall become operative during the subsequent GSM frame.

20        Continuing the description of the operation of the simulation equipment SIM\_RF, it is impossible to leave out of consideration the dialogue between this last and the control personal computer CNTR\_PC. Before describing the methods of such a dialogue it is useful to give some theoretical clarifications on the beamforming, used in the present invention to simulate the arrival direction of useful and interferences.

25        Making reference to fig.4, we notice an array antenna, seen from the top, consisting of N sensors  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_N$  aligned along a straight line and separated one from the other of a distance  $d = \lambda/2$ , at centreband frequency of the band assigned by the particular transmission standard valid for the type of BTS to be tested. The antenna has a plane form, whose trace on the figure plane corresponds to the sensors  
30        junction line. The antenna plane is stricken by two plane waves p1 and p2 coming from two different directions, indicated with two straight lines, perpendicular to the relevant wave fronts and forming two relevant arrival angles  $\varphi$  and  $\theta$  with the trace of the antenna plane.

## ART 34 AMDT

Making reference to fig.5, we notice the wave front p1 on the moment it strikes the sensor a1 placed at one end of the array. From the figure it is clear that the subsequent sensors shall be stricken with ever increasing delays, consequently the modulated carrier corresponding to the plane wave p1 shall be seen at the input of the different sensors of the array like N identical modulated carriers s1(t), s2(t), ..., sN(t), phase shifted among them by ever increasing angles. All these phase shiftings are therefore in biunivocal relation with the arrival direction of p1, so that to estimate the unknown arrival direction of a generic carrier coming from a mobile, it is sufficient to measure the reciprocal phase shiftings among the signals received from single sensors, taking an ending one to determine an absolute phase reference. This is just what the block DUT performs in its actual operation. Concerning the simulation equipment SIM\_RF, the dual reasoning applies, that is, starting from a direction to simulate of a test carrier, it is necessary to calculate some complex constants (beamforming coefficients) which, multiplied by N identical modulated carriers p1 give the reciprocal phase shiftings identical to those of the wave front of fig.5. It is then clear that sending this set of carriers directly downstream the array, excluding this last, we obtain the same effect as that obtained sending a carrier from a direction  $\varphi$  with inserted antenna. The reasoning made for the carrier p1, whose arrival direction has to be simulated, applies to any other carrier, both useful or interferent, whose directions must be simulated them too. It is this possible to test from a unique spatial point, the laboratory one, through a simulated scenario, the characteristics of the receiver defining the intelligent behaviour of the same.

Referring to figures 5 and 6, it is now described the calculation of beamforming coefficients enabling to obtain the set of phase shifted carriers as desired. To this purpose, it is used in fig.6 a vectorial representation on plane I, Q of the modulated carriers s1(t), s2(t), ..., sN(t) of fig.5 present at the input of the single sensors a1, a2, a3, ..., aN, indicating the corresponding rotating vectors con  $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2, \mathbf{S}_3, \dots, \mathbf{S}_N$ . The phase absolute reference is selected arbitrarily assuming equal to zero the phase of vector  $\mathbf{S}_1$ . Indicating the vectors in exponential form with module A, and letting  $\Psi = \pi \cos \varphi$ , the following representation applies:

$$\mathbf{S}_1 = Ae^{j0}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_2 = Ae^{j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d \cos \varphi} = Ae^{j\pi \cos \varphi} = Ae^{j\Psi}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_3 = Ae^{j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}2d \cos \varphi} = Ae^{j2\pi \cos \varphi} = Ae^{j2\Psi}$$

## ART 34 AMDT

$$S_N = Ae^{j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}(N-1)d \cos \varphi} = Ae^{j(N-1)\pi \cos \varphi} = Ae^{j(N-1)\Psi}$$

The calculation of the Cartesian components of each vector is now immediate, according to the known trigonometric relations:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= A \\ I_1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$Q_2 = A \cos(\Psi) = A \cos(\pi \cos \varphi)$$

$$I_2 = A \sin(\Psi) = A \sin(\pi \cos \varphi)$$

$$Q_3 = A \cos(2\Psi) = A \cos(2\pi \cos \varphi)$$

$$I_3 = A \sin(2\Psi) = A \sin(2\pi \cos \varphi)$$

$$Q_N = A \cos((N-1)\Psi) = A \cos((N-1)\pi \cos \varphi)$$

$$I_N = A \sin((N-1)\Psi) = A \sin((N-1)\pi \cos \varphi)$$

The N pairs of values I and Q so obtained correspond to beamforming coefficients SIM\_BEAM\_W1, SIM\_BEAM\_W2, ....., SIM\_BEAM\_WN of fig.3. In the example considered, the mathematical process described above must be repeated for the calculation of beamforming coefficients of the carrier p2; in general, M procedure for each one of the M modulated carriers, generated by the SIM\_RF equipment have to be made.

It is now described the dialogue method between the personal computer CNTR\_PC and the simulation equipment SIM\_RF, in order to better highlight the functions of the INTF\_PC block of fig.3, missing in the mentioned known art. The above mentioned dialogue occurs through sending of messages from CNTR\_PC directly towards the TX\_PROC units; each message is transmitted in series with a label specifying the address of the TX\_PROC addressee unit and the length of the associated message, immediately followed by the message content, that is the true data.

Making reference to fig. 7, messages are automatically prepared by the processor CNTR\_PC, after the testing operator has filled in a limited number of predetermined tables TAB.1, TAB.2, ..., TAB.K, which summarize the general data describing the scenario to simulate. The selection of data to enter can determine the opening of submenus containing the parameters to select for the option specified. The

- each BTS employs one or more radio carriers, each one allocated in the 900 MHz band (TX BTS : 925-960 MHz; TX MS : 880-915 MHz);
  - a carrier BCCH (broadcast carrier) for the transmission, is associated to each cell, diffused to all the mobiles, of the cell characteristic information;
- 20 • each radio carrier is time divided in time slots of about 577  $\mu$ s each, the transmission takes place in digital way with bit duration of about 3.6  $\mu$ s;
- each time slot contains a Normal Burst of 148 bit, or an Access Burst of 88 bit;
  - each Normal Burst contains a 26 bit synchronization sequence (Training sequence or midamblo), temporally positioned at the burst centre;
- 25 • the repetitivity of the time slot occurs at frame interval of about 4.61 ms, for 8 time slot frames (TS0...TS7);
- 26 sequential frames are organized in a 120 ms multiframe; 51 sequential multiframes are organized in a 6,12 second superframe; 2048 sequential superframes are organized within an iperframe of approximately three hours and a half; such a
- 30 subdivision is useful to synchronise events requiring long real times to be acquired and processed;
- the power emitted by the BTS on each time slot of each radio carrier has a level (Emission Level) depending on the distance separating BTS from MS (said distance is

## ART 34 ANDT

evaluated on the basis of the TIMING ADVANCE parameter), and level and quality of the signal received.

From the above mentioned specifications it can be noticed that up to now, recommendations concerning the behaviour of the intelligent antenna do not exist.

- 5 The BTS controls the radio interface monitoring the following parameters (updated every 480 ms):
- distance of MS from BTS, proportional to the radio signal propagation time (parameter : TIMING ADVANCE);
  - level of the signal received, depending on the attenuation of radio length separating MS
  - 10 from BTS, within the coverage along a specified direction (parameter: RX\_LEV);
  - useful/interferent ratio C/I, depending on the above mentioned considerations and essentially deriving from the concept of radio resources reutilization (RX\_QUAL parameter).

- 15 Based on the general notions mentioned above, some operation specifications result for the testing system of fig.1 that, as it is remembered, consists of the simulation equipment SIM\_RF connected to its own control processor CNTR\_PC through a serial bus ET\_LAN of a local network . The above mentioned specifications are given below:

standard of the radio interface	EGSM900
subdivision in 10 MHz sub-bands TX (because a wide band digital transmitter able to cover the whole band cannot be realized up to now)	875-885 MHz 885-895 MHz 895-905 MHz 905-915 MHz
Power rated level TX for carrier	-13 dBm at the output of each WB_TX
digital control TX power level (for channel)	15 steps, 1 dB each
Number of antenna elements TX	N = 8
Maximum number of RF carriers	M = 16
No. of time slots actually assigned	Set possibility for each carrier
simulation of movement for each RF carrier	Speed setting possible (3 ÷ 250 km/h)
relative delays between RF carriers	programmable with 1 bit GSM resolution (156 bit max)
relative delays between echoes of the same carrier	programmable with 50 ns resolution (3.6 µs max)

002270" 60855550

# ART 34 AND

simulation of angular direction (for each RF carrier)	programmable on 360° with 1° resolution
--	---

Going back now to the general tables of fig.7, we can notice that a given number K is foreseen (only two of them are described in detail) each one referred to a subsequent GSM frame having 4.61 ms duration. This strategy enables to gradually vary the parameters of the simulated scenario, going close to what occurs in the dynamics of a real scenario. In fact, it is known that the algorithms used by a BTS to acquire the main merit parameters of the receiver require times longer than that of a single frame. Furthermore, in the case of receiver for intelligent antenna, like that of block DUT of fig.1, the same works with adaptive algorithms performing their function at best on several subsequent frames. A sequence of K tables is cyclically repeated to enable a continuous operation of the testing system. The cyclic repetition of tests enables the results of the measures to reach a permanent steady condition after each manual updating of one or more parameters of the scenario, and demonstrates to be useful for a statistical evaluation of results. The transformation methods of the information included in tables of fig.7 in messages for the SIM\_RF equipment shall be described hereafter.

The items indicated in the different cases of the general tables of fig.7 are self-explanatory and do not require additional comments. Concerning the connection of the general tables to submenus, the choice “FREQUENCY HOPPING: YES” determines the opening of a submenu with the following parameters to set:

PARAMETER	IDENTIFICATION	RANGE
n° channels RF available	N	1...50
n° selected hopping sequence	HSN	0...63
offset of the allocation index of MS	MAIO	0...N-1

The option "FADING: NO" does not determine opening of any submenu.

The option "FADING: YES" determines the opening of a submenu for the selection of one of the following known propagation models:

PROPAGATION MODEL	IDENTIFICATION
rural area	RAx (6 taps)
hilly terrain	HTx (12 taps)
reduced hilly terrain	HTx (6 taps)

**ART 34 AMDT**

urban area	TUx (12 taps)
reduced urban area	TUx (6 taps)
equalization test	EQx (6 taps)
arbitrary	CUSTOM

The selection of any propagation model (excluding CUSTOM) imposes the values of " RF level ", "delay" and "Doppler spectrum type" of the table of fig.7, which determined this choice. Access to the columns of the above mentioned table is therefore inhibited to the operator, and the values automatically included in these columns are those defined by specifications GSM 05.05 Annex C (Propagation conditions). Furthermore, rural area models, reduced hilly terrain, reduced urban area, equalization test automatically engage 6 carriers of SIM\_RF; the hilly terrain, urban area models automatically engage 12 carriers of SIM\_RF. The selection of the discretionary model (CUSTOM) determines the enabling of the columns "delay" and "Doppler spectrum type" and the engagement of one sole RF carrier, since the selection of the number and characteristics of possible echoes and of the possible (taps) of the model itself is up to the operator.

Once the tables of fig.7 are filled in with the data for the simulation, guided in this by the relevant submenus, the processor CNTR\_PC generates the messages instructing the processor modules TX\_PROC1, TX\_PROC2, ..., TX\_PROCM and the block LO\_CORP.

The following table lists the identification names of messages and the relevant addressee units:

TYPE OF MESSAGE	Bit No.	PC→ TX_PROC	PC→ LO_CORP
SIM_NCO (1...16)	8	x	
SIM_D (1...16)	116	x	
SIM_BEAM_Wn (1...16)	256	x	
SIM_DEL (1...16)	16	x	
BT_SIM	8	x	
P_SYNT_SIM	8		x
TSN	8	x	

## ART 34 AMUT

All the messages having suffix (1...16) are intended as separate messages sent to the TX\_PROCM module relevant to the carrier m-th (m 1 to 16). Concerning the SIM\_BEAM\_Wn messages, the suffix n varies from 1 to N = 8 coinciding with a generic value m to indicate N separate messages sent to the same module TX\_PROCM.

- 5        The following table gives the meaning of the messages listed in the previous table:

NAME	Bit No.	MEANING
SIM_NCO	16	Programming of the RF channel transmitted in uplink
SIM_D	116	data to be transmitted in uplink (modulating signal)
SIM_BEAM_Wn	256	Module and phase of beamforming coefficients
SIM_DEL	16	delay of the simulated carrier in uplink
BT_SIM	8	training sequence code, TSC (3 bit) + selection between NORMAL or ACCESS burst (1 bit)
P_SYNT_SIM	256	programming of LO_CORP for the selection of the carrier in the assigned time slot
TSN	8	number of the time slot of the GSM frame (TSN=0...7)

- 10        The necessary procedures to process data supplied by the user and to obtain the information message in the serial format accepted by the network ET\_LAN and by interface blocks INTF\_PC of the simulation equipment SIM\_RF are developed on CNTR\_PC. Following is the list of the above mentioned procedures, specifying the procedure input information (inputs) and the information supplied by the procedure
- 15        itself (outputs). The inputs are the parameters selected by the user and entered through menu and submenus. The outputs contain the messages transferred by CNTR\_PC, via bus ET\_LAN, to modules TX\_PROC and LO\_CORP.
- The procedures performed by CNTR\_PC for the generation of the above mentioned messages are the following:
- 20 • **frequency hopping algorithm** (see spec. GSM 05.03)  
       inputs : N, HSN, MAIO  $\Rightarrow$  outputs : RF channel number;
- **beamforming algorithm** (see the previous representation of figures 4, 5 and 6)



## ART 34 AMDT

inputs : arrival angle  $\Rightarrow$  outputs : beamforming coefficients;

- **RF scenario simulation** (see spec. GSM 05.05 Annex C, propagation condition)

inputs : standard propagation model, MS speed  $\Rightarrow$  outputs : sequence of amplitude multiplication coefficients (one per frame); relative delays between echoes of the same carrier.

5 Making reference to fig.3, we can notice that a great part of the content of messages transferred by CNTR\_PC, via ET\_LAN, to the interface circuit INTF\_PC, are in their turn transferred to using devices. This occurs for the contents of the messages SIM\_D, TSN and SIM\_DEL, transferred to modulators GMSK; for the contents of the messages SIM\_BEAM\_Wn, transferred to first multipliers M1, M2, ..., MN; and for the content of the message SIM\_NCO, transferred to the second multipliers MM1, MM2, ..., MMN.

15 The contents of all the messages are updated by CNTR\_PC at each 4.61 ms GSM frame, and sent according to the same intervals to the concerned units placed in local network, even if the content of a message is unchanged compared to that of the preceding frame. Consequently the concerned modules TX\_PROC and LO\_CORP, can process in a frame time the updated contents of the relevant messages, in order to be able to change in real time the simulated magnitudes relevant to the modulated carriers sent to the DUT block of fig.1 in the subsequent frame.

20 The updating of the message content made by CNTR\_PC of fig.1 at each frame, in absence of modifications introduced by the testing operator in the contents of the sequence of K tables of fig.7, and of subtables associated to the same, shall be that imposed by said sequence. On the contrary, in presence of modifications, it will reflect that of the updated sequence, starting from the point in the recurrent cycle in which the same is rendered operative. For a better understanding of the updating dynamics of messages generated by CNTR\_PC, it is appropriate to underline that the compilation of the sequence of K tables of fig.7 is completely made out of line, both concerning the first drawing up and the successive modifications. Afterwards, the testing operator confirms the new version that becomes operative in real time, meaning that from that moment on, the messages sent to the network shall be generated starting from the tables of the last version, without stopping for this reason the flow of sequential messages. We can therefore conclude that while the compilation phase is completely independent from the flow of messages, the deriving updating in the content of

[illegible]

From the analysis of information included in the tables of fig.7 and relevant menus, and from the typology of the deriving messages, we can deduce that availing, in whole, or in part, of the  $M = 16$  groups of carriers relevant to a same time slot, each group including  $N = 8$  replicas can be arbitrarily simulated:

- The testing system of fig.1 is very flexible as for the panorama of possible scenarios to simulate, and easy to handle for the testing operator, whose task is limited to the entering of data in the general tables of fig.7. These advantages derive from the essentially digital architecture of the simulation equipment SIM\_RF, which can construct N broad band digital signals at intermediate frequency IF1, ..., IFN, of the multicarrier type. Each carrier included in the broad band signals IF1, ..., IFN is characterized by a relevant content of the SIM\_NCO message, which established the relevant intermediate frequency; therefore the simulation of several isofrequential interferences engages several modules TX\_PROC to which SIM\_NCO messages having identical content are sent.

The simulation system of the example lends itself to some generalizations that configure the invention applicable to other mobile radio systems with system setting different from the FDMA/TDMA one. For instance, as far as the invention is concerned, the TDMA aspect is not strictly necessary and, strictly speaking, also the FDMA aspect can be not considered, since for the simulation of a minimum, but realistic scenario,

30 The simulation system of the example lends itself to some generalizations that configure the invention applicable to other mobile radio systems with system setting different from the FDMA/TDMA one. For instance, as far as the invention is concerned, the TDMA aspect is not strictly necessary and, strictly speaking, also the FDMA aspect can be not considered, since for the simulation of a minimum, but realistic scenario,

## ART 34 AMDT

one sole carrier is sufficient with its isofrequential interferents. As for the invention, if we want to leave out of consideration the FDMA/TDMA architecture of the embodiment, we must be considered the dynamic characteristic of the simulated scenario which up to now was given by the updating of the significant parameters of the same at 4.61 ms interval of the GSM frame. This time slot is a good compromise between the need to avail of a processing time sufficient to the generation of configuration messages of the scenario, to their transfer on local network, and to the programming of the addressee units of the content of the same, and that to be able to simulate a realistic time slot in which the variations indicated by the succession of parameters, correspond to a same variation of the same magnitudes, but referred to phenomena which in the real context comprise the involved carriers.

From the above we can conclude that it is possible to employ the present invention to simulate the radiofrequency scenario in the testing of a base transceiver station of a cellular telephone system of the analogue type with FDMA philosophy, for instance TACS. In this case, whenever the processing times enable it, it is possible to update the scenario parameters with interval lower than 4.61 ms of the example, reaching a finer accuracy in the dynamic simulation.

From what said up to now we can conclude that, without departing from the field of the invention, the same can have further applications, in addition to those foreseen for cellular telephone systems. For instance, it is possible to use the invention in all the cases where it is necessary to test receivers for intelligent array antennas employing beamforming algorithms, but leaving out of consideration the basic philosophy of all the mobile radio telephone systems, and therefore the fact that all the interferents are caused by the reutilization of the same carriers in a territory subdivided in cells of adjacent clusters.

Possible applications of the invention in this way could be forecast in the satellite sector. Other possible applications of the invention in sectors different from the mobile radio telecommunication one, could be predicted in the radar sector.

**ART 34 AMDT****AMENDED CLAIMS**

1. Simulation process of a radiofrequency scenario starting from generation of serial messages including useful information (SIM\_D, BT\_SIM, SIM\_DEL) for obtaining a phase-modulated radiofrequency test signal comprehensive of the most relevant channel impairments, including co-channel interference, which is sent to the input of a receiver under test (DUT) whose output is monitored, **characterized in that** includes the following further phases piloted by the message contents:
- execution of  $N \times P$  digital modulation of a base band carrier, for obtaining  $P$  groups (carrier 1, ..., carrier  $M$ ) of  $N$  base band isofrequential digital replicas of said phase-modulated carrier, being  $P$  chosen from 1 to the maximum number  $M$  of modulated carriers fitting the assigned band of the receiver under test (DUT), and  $N$  being the number of independent inputs of said receiver;
  - digital multiplication, for every  $P$  groups of  $N$  replicas, of each base band replica by a respective complex constant (SIM\_BEAM\_W1, SIM\_BEAM\_W2, ..., SIM\_BEAM\_WN) assigned to the group, being the numerical order of the replicas and the phases of the multiplicative constants both increasing gradually in the successive products for beamforming each of the  $P$  group of  $N$  replicas according to an its own desired arrival direction to simulate;
  - adjustment of the power level of each  $P$  group of  $N$  replicas;
  - digital multiplication of each beamformed group of  $N$  replicas by a relevant digital intermediate frequency carrier (SIM\_NCO) which carries out frequency conversion of the group at a respective intermediate frequency, so establishing for each intermediate frequency converted beamformed group ( $C1_1, C1_2, \dots, C1_N; \dots; CM_1, CM_2, \dots, CM_N$ ) the relative position inside the broad band of the receiver under test;
  - summation of all the  $P$  intermediate frequency converted replicas having the same order in each beamformed group, for obtaining  $N$  broad band intermediate frequency replicas (IF1, IF2, ..., IFN);
  - analogue conversion of the  $N$  broad band intermediate frequency replicas (IF1, IF2, ..., IFN) and filtering broad band the analogue replicas for reconstruction;
  - radiofrequency conversion of the reconstructed analogue replicas, amplifying and filtering they for obtaining  $N$  broad band radiofrequency replicas (RF1, RF2, ..., RFN) constituting a single test signal suitable for testing the operation of a

## ART 34 AMDT

directional receiver, preferably one included in a base station of a radiomobile system designed for cooperating with a N-elements directive array;

- application of the N broad band radiofrequency replicas (RF1, RF2, ..., RFN) directly to N radiofrequency inputs (in1, in2, ..., inN) of the receiver under test (DUT), bypassing the antenna.

5           2.     Simulation process of radiofrequency scenario according to claim 1, characterized in that the content of said serial messages (SIM\_D, SIM\_PN, SIM\_DEL, SIM\_BEAM\_W1, ..., SIM\_BEAM\_WN, SIM\_NCO, OL) is read from general tables (TAB.1, TAB.2, ..., TABK) of parameters and options defining a scenario concerning at  
10     least one useful transmission signal and one or more isofrequential interferent signals, having simulated arrival directions generally different from those of said relevant useful signals.

          3.     Simulation process according to claim 2, characterized in that said general tables (TAB.1, TAB.2, ..., TABK) constitute a sequence of K tables cyclically read.

15           4.     Simulation process according to claim 3, characterized in that its operative phases form a sequence repeated at time intervals of the same duration, using time by time said messages obtained converting a new general table of said cyclic sequence, thus giving dynamic and recurrent characteristics to said simulated scenario.

          5.     Simulation process according to claim 4, characterized in that said equal  
20     duration of the time intervals is such that the variation speed of the contents of said messages is similar to the one that can be detected in the corresponding said parameters of a real scenario.

          6.     Simulation process according to claim 5, characterized in that said duration is equal to, or lower than 4.61 ms.

25           7.     Simulation process according to any claim 4 through 6, characterized in that said general tables (TAB.1, TAB.2, ..., TABK) are updated during the testing time, and corresponding updated messages are generated in synchronous mode compared to said sequential time intervals.

          8.     Simulation process according to claim 4, characterized in that it includes  
30     an additional acquisition phase of the results of said testing, in asynchronous mode compared to said sequential time intervals.

          9.     Simulation process according to any claim from 2 to 8 characterized in that the selection of some of said options of said general tables (TAB.1, TAB.2, ..., TABK) involves the compilation of relevant sub-tables containing additional parameters to  
35     select for the specified option.

# ART 34 AND

10. Simulation process according to any claim from 4 to 9, characterized in that said carriers are time division multiplexed, and each of said sequential time intervals of the same duration corresponds to a frame time.

11. Simulation process according to any claim from 2 to 10, characterized in that said general tables (TAB.1, TAB.2, ..., TABK) include also parameters that take into account the presence of noise, the doppler effect due to the speed of the mobiles, and the quick and sudden fading of the electromagnetic field received, caused by multiple paths destructive interference or by masking by obstacles encountered by mobiles in movement.

10 12. Testing system of a radiofrequency receiver, including a control processor (CNTR\_PC) for generating serial messages directed to orthogonal modulation and frequency conversion devices controlled by the content of said messages for generating a phase-modulated radiofrequency test signal comprehensive of the most relevant channel impairments, including co-channel interference which is sent to the  
15 input of a receiver under test (DUT) whose output is monitored, **characterized in that** it further includes:

- $N \times P$  digital modulators (GMSK1, GMSK2, ..., GMSKN) of a self-generated base band carrier, for obtaining  $P$  groups (carrier 1, ..., carrier  $M$ ) of  $N$  base band isofrequential digital replicas of said phase-modulated carrier, being  $P$  chosen from 1 to the maximum number  $M$  of modulated carriers fitting the assigned band of the receiver under test (DUT), and  $N$  being the number of independent inputs of said receiver;
- $N \times P$  first digital multipliers ( $M1, M2, \dots, MN; \dots$ ) arranged for multiplying, for every  $P$  groups of  $N$  replicas, each base band replica by a respective complex constant ( $SIM\_BEAM\_W1, SIM\_BEAM\_W2, \dots, SIM\_BEAM\_WN$ ) assigned to the group, being the numerical order of the replicas and the phases of the multiplicative constants both increasing gradually in the successive products for beamforming each of the  $P$  group of  $N$  replicas according to an its own desired arrival direction to simulate;
- means for adjusting the power level of each  $P$  group of  $N$  replicas;
- $N \times P$  second digital multipliers ( $MM1, MM2, \dots, MMN; \dots$ ) for multiplying each beamformed group of  $N$  replicas by a relevant digital intermediate frequency carrier ( $SIM\_NCO, \dots$ ) which carries out frequency conversion of the group at a respective intermediate frequency, so establishing for each intermediate frequency converted

## ART 34 AMDT

beamformed group ( $C_{11}, C_{12}, \dots, C_{1N}; \dots; C_{M1}, C_{M2}, \dots, C_{MN}$ ) the relative position inside the broad band of the receiver under test;

- N digital adding means (1, 2, ..., N) for summing up all the P intermediate frequency converted replicas having the same order in each beamformed group, for obtaining  
5 N broad band intermediate frequency replicas (IF1, IF2, ..., IFN);
- N digital/analogue conversion means (D/A) of said N broad band intermediate frequency replicas (IF1, IF2, ..., IFN) followed by broad band filtering means for reconstructing the analogue replicas;
- N radiofrequency mixers (MX1, MX2, ..., MXN) of said N broad band reconstructed  
10 analogue replicas (IF1, IF2, ..., IFN) for obtaining N broad band radiofrequency replicas (RF1, RF2, ..., RFN);
- N radiofrequency amplifiers (PA1, PA2, ..., PAN) for amplifying said radiofrequency replicas (RF1, RF2, ..., RFN) and orderly sent them to N radiofrequency outputs (out1, out2, ..., outN) of the testing system, where the radiofrequency replicas  
15 constitute a single test signal suitable for testing the operation of a directional receiver, preferably one included in a base station of a radiomobile system designed for cooperating with a N-elements directive array;
- a whole of N coaxial cables, or equivalent means, connecting said N radiofrequency outputs to a same number of inputs (in1, in2, ..., inN) of a said  
20 receiver (DUT), without antenna.

13. Testing system according to claim 12, characterized in that the intermediate frequency converted beamformed groups ( $C_{11}, C_{12}, \dots, C_{1N}; \dots; C_{M1}, C_{M2}, \dots, C_{MN}$ ), each of N replicas, are generated by means of P identical digital modules (TX\_PROC1, ..., TX\_PROCM), each including a dedicated processor  
25 interface (INTF\_PC) communicating with N digital modulators (GMSK1, GMSK2, ..., GMSKN), N first digital multipliers (M1, M2, ..., MN), and N second digital multipliers (MM1, MM2, ..., MMN); the whole digital modules being connected to N buses (BS1, BS2, ..., BSN) for transferring the N broad band intermediate frequency replicas (IF1, IF2, ..., IFN) towards as many digital to analogue converters (D/A), through a binary  
30 tree of N two-inputs digital adders (1, 2, ..., N).

14. Testing system according to claim 12 or 13, characterized in that said control processor (CNTR\_PC) transfers to said interface means (INTF\_PC, LO\_CORP) said control messages (SIM\_D, SIM\_BEAM\_W1, SIM\_BEAM\_W2, ..., SIM\_BEAM\_WN SIM\_NCO, OL) at sequential time intervals of identical duration.

# ART 34 AMDT

15. Testing system according to claim 14, characterized in that said identical duration of the sequential time intervals is such that the variation speed of the contents of said messages is similar to that which can be detected in corresponding parameters of a real scenario.

16. Testing system according to any claim from 12 to 15, characterized in that said messages are obtained from the conversion of general tables (TAB.1, TAB.2, ..., TABK) of parameters and options defining a simulated scenario, stored into said control processor (CNTR\_PC).

17. Testing system according to claim 16, characterized in that said general  
10 tables (TAB.1, TAB.2, ....., TABK) are organized in a sequence of K tables cyclically  
repeated.

18. Testing system according to claim 14, characterized in that said duration is equal to or lower than 4.61 ms.


19. Testing system according to any claim 16 through 18, characterized in that  
15 said general tables (TAB.1, TAB.2, ..., TABK) are filled in before the testing and  
updated during the testing, and the corresponding updated messages are generated in  
synchronous mode compared to said sequential time intervals.

20. Testing system according to claim 12, characterized in that said carriers are time division multiplexed and said duration corresponds to a frame time.

20            21.    Testing system according to any claim from 16 to 20, characterized in that  
said general tables (TAB.1, TAB.2, ..., TABK) include also parameters to simulate the  
presence of noise, the doppler effect due to the speed of the mobiles, and the quick  
and sudden fadings of the electromagnetic field received, caused by destructive  
interference by multiple paths or by masking by obstacles encountered by the mobiles  
25    in movement.



Fig. 1










Fig. 2



**Fig. 3**



Trama GSM n. 1

Numero	Periferica di destinazione	tipo di canale	frequency hopping	livello RF	numero canale RF	direzione d'arrivo	tipo di fading	ritardo	Doppler spectrum type	velocità di MS
1	TX PROC#1	portante utile	NO	-50 dBm	126	60°	NO	0 µs	-	0 km/h
2	TX PROC#2	eco utile	NO	-56 dBm	126	62°	NO	1 µs	-	0 km/h
3	TX PROC#3	interferente	SI	-60 dBm	126	70°	NO	56 µs	-	0 km/h
...										
16	TX PROC#16	eco interferente	NO	-70 dBm	127	55°	SI	117 µs	CLASS	50 km/h

Tab 1

Trama GSM n. 2

Numero	Periferica di destinazione	tipo di canale	frequency hopping	livello RF	numero canale RF	direzione d'arrivo	tipo di fading	ritardo	Doppler spectrum type	velocità di MS
1	TX PROC#1	portante utile	NO	-49 dBm	126	61°	NO	0 µs	-	0 km/h
2	TX PROC#2	eco utile	NO	-58 dBm	126	63°	NO	1 µs	-	0 km/h
3	TX PROC#3	interferente	SI	-60 dBm	103	70°	NO	56 µs	-	0 km/h
...										
16	TX PROC#16	eco interferente	NO	-68 dBm	127	54°	SI	117 µs	CLASS	50 km/h

Tab 2

Trama GSM n. k

Tab. k

Fig. 7

# BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

PLEASE NOTE:  
YOU MUST  
COMPLETE THE  
FOLLOWING:

## COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

0753-0168P

## FOR PATENT AND DESIGN APPLICATIONS

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated next to my name; that I verily believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one inventor is named below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

Insert Title:

Simulation process of radiofrequency scenario in radio mobile environment and testing system employing said process

Fill in Appropriate  
Information -  
For Use Without  
Specification  
Attached:

the specification of which is attached hereto. If not attached hereto,

the specification was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as

United States Application Number \_\_\_\_\_; and /or

the specification was filed on 26 November 1998 as PCT

International Application Number PCT/EP98/07762; and was

amended under PCT Article 19 on \_\_\_\_\_ (if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I do not know and do not believe the same was ever known or used in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (six months for designs) prior to this application, and that no application for patent or inventor's certificate on this invention has been filed in any country foreign to the United States of America prior to this application by me or my legal representatives or assigns, except as follows.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Insert Priority  
Information:  
(if appropriate)

Prior Foreign Application(s)

MI 97A002826

(Number)

ITALY

(Country)

12/19/1997

(Month/Day/Year Filed)

Priority Claimed

☒

☐

Yes

No

☐

☐

Yes

No

☐

☐

Yes

No

☐

☐

Yes

No

☐

☐

Yes

No

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

Insert Provisional  
Application(s):  
(if any)

(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

All Foreign Applications, if any, for any Patent or Inventor's Certificate Filed More Than 12 Months (6 Months for Designs) Prior To The Filing Date of This Application:

Insert Requested  
Information:  
(if appropriate)

Country

Application No.

Date of Filing (Month/Day/Year)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Insert Prior U.S.  
Application(s):  
(if any)

(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and/or an international application based on this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and in connection with the resulting patent based on instructions received from the entity who first sent the application papers to the attorneys identified below, unless the inventor(s) or assignee provides said attorneys with a written notice to the contrary:

15-  
Terrell C. Birch (Reg. No. 19,382)  
Joseph A. Kolasch (Reg. No. 22,463)  
Bernard L. Sweeney (Reg. No. 24,448)  
Charles Gorenstein (Reg. No. 29,271)  
Leonard R. Svensson (Reg. No. 30,330)  
Andrew D. Meikle (Reg. No. 32,868)  
Joe McKinney Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334)  
C. Joseph Faraci (Reg. No. 32,350)

Raymond C. Stewart (Reg. No. 21,066)  
James M. Slattery (Reg. No. 28,380)  
Michael K. Mutter (Reg. No. 29,680)  
Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. (Reg. No. 28,977)  
Terry L. Clark (Reg. No. 32,644)  
Marc S. Weiner (Reg. No. 32,181)  
Donald J. Daley (Reg. No. 34,313)

Send Correspondence to:

**BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP**

**P.O. Box 747 • Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747**

**Telephone: (703) 205-8000 • Facsimile: (703) 205-8050**

PLEASE NOTE:  
YOU MUST  
COMPLETE THE  
FOLLOWING:

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of First or Sole  
Inventor:  
Insert Name of Inventor  
Insert Date This Document is Signed

Insert Residence  
Insert Citizenship

Insert Post Office  
Address

Full Name of Second  
Inventor, if any  
see above

Full Name of Third  
Inventor, if any  
see above

Full Name of Fourth  
Inventor, if any  
see above

Full Name of Fifth  
Inventor, if any  
see above

GIVEN NAME <u>MARCELLO</u>	FAMILY NAME <u>DONATI</u>	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <i>Marcello Donati</i>	DATE* <u>23.5.2000</u>
Residence (City, State & Country) <u>20131 MILANO - ITALY ITX</u>		CITIZENSHIP <u>ITALIAN</u> ✓	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) <u>Via Bazzini, 9 - 20131 MILANO - ITALY</u>			
GIVEN NAME <u>MARCO</u>	FAMILY NAME <u>POLITI</u>	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <i>Marco Politi</i>	DATE* <u>4/6/2000</u>
Residence (City, State & Country) <u>20121 MILANO - ITALY ITX</u>		CITIZENSHIP <u>ITALIAN</u>	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) <u>Via Moscova, 58 - 20121 MILANO - ITALY</u>			
GIVEN NAME	FAMILY NAME	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			
GIVEN NAME	FAMILY NAME	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			
GIVEN NAME	FAMILY NAME	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			